# SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL AMMONIA EMISSIONS FROM NATURAL SOILS AND CROP FERTILIZER USES COMMON TO CALIFORNIA

Compiled by

**Christopher Potter** 

NASA Ames Research Center

and

Charles Krauter

California State University Fresno

Draft Report Prepared for the California Air Resources Board

Emissions Inventory Branch

August 1999

Under ARB Contract Number XXX to California State University Fresno

# Introduction and Justification

Ammonia is the dominant gaseous base in the atmosphere and a principal neutralizing agent for atmospheric acids. The supply of alkaline soil dust and gaseous NH<sub>3</sub> available in the atmosphere may control the acidity of precipitation. Volatilized NH<sub>3</sub> may react to form ammonium nitrate or ammonium sulfate and thereby contribute to airborne particulate matter (PM). Nevertheless, NH<sub>3</sub> remains one of the most poorly characterized atmospheric trace compounds. This situation persists as a result of several factors: experimental difficulties associated with NH<sub>3</sub> measurements, rapid gas-to-particle conversion of NH<sub>3</sub> in the atmosphere, capacity of soils, organic matter, and vegetation to act as both sources and sinks for atmospheric NH<sub>3</sub>, and variability in nitrogen fertilizer management and related NH<sub>3</sub> emissions (Langford, 1992).

There is a limited amount of published information from which to develop direct emissions estimates of NH<sub>3</sub> for the state of California in general, and the state's Central Valley in particular. Estimated patterns of nitrogen deposition suggest that, for California locations close to photochemical smog source areas, concentrations of oxidized forms of N (NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, PAN) dominate, while in areas near agricultural activities the importance of reduced N forms (NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub>+) may increase significantly (Bytnerowicz and Fenn, 1996). Wintertime concentrations of PM in the San Joaquin Valley have been associated with high levels of ammonium nitrate (Chow et al., 1992). Preliminary measurements of NH<sub>3</sub> background concentrations in the San Joaquin Valley by Fitz et al. (1997) estimated February levels of 3-16 ug m<sup>-3</sup> around open alfalfa fields.

However, the magnitude and distribution (both regionally and seasonally) of current NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from fertilizer and other agricultural sources is still largely undetermined for the state of California and many other large regions where agriculture is a major land use (Matthews, 1994).

# Review of Fertilizer Emission Controllers

The general conditions favoring soil NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from chemical fertilizers have been documented to a limited degree. As a first approximation, high NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization is strongly associated with high soil pH (7-9) levels, although volatilization losses can occur from acid as well as from alkaline soils, due to elevated pH and NH<sub>4</sub>+ concentrations at wet "microsites" where surface-applied urea (CO[NH<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>) fertilizer particles dissolve and hydrolyze (Fenn and Richards, 1986). Microsite formation of ammonium carbonate (NH<sub>4</sub>+ HCO<sub>3</sub>-) by the soil microbial enzyme urease can promote high NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization losses, well after urea is incorporated into the soil organic matter.

#### Moisture

Soil wetting patterns can strongly influence NH<sub>3</sub> losses. Under certain application conditions, moist soils can emit more of applied fertilizer NH<sub>3</sub> than drier soils (Denmead et al., 1978; McInnes et al., 1986; Burch and Fox, 1989; Al-Kanani, 1991). As already mentioned, hydrolysis of urea is promoted under conditions of elevated soil moisture, which can then enhance evaporation losses as NH<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. Volatilization rates are typically diminished when,

for example, urea can be transported rapidly to deeper soil layers following heavy irrigation (Fenn and Miyamoto, 1979). Field studies suggest that merely delaying urea application for a few hours after irrigation to avoid accumulation at wet soil surfaces may be a practical way to reduce NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization in humid areas (Priebe and Blackmer, 1989). High temperatures and strong winds may interact with humidity and soil moisture to promote higher volatilization losses. However, in the winter, natural snow cover and cold temperatures can decrease airborne soil dust and possibly the evolution of NH<sub>3</sub> from soils (Munger, 1982).

#### Application Method

Methods of fertilizer application and tillage also have notable impacts on gas losses. As a general trend, the potential for NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization loss is highest where surface application of fertilizers is practiced (Fox and Piekielek, 1987), particularly when combined with no-till management. High rates of band-applied anhydrous ammonia in alkaline soils may retard nitrification for many days, promoting high NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization rates. Nitrogen oxidizing reactions may increase as heavy moisture input or mass particle movement subsequently dilutes the fertilizer-soil microsite reaction zone.

#### Timing of Application

Understanding the timing of NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization loss from chemical fertilizers is crucial to accurate field emission measurements. Emission rates measured by Denmead et al. (1982a) suggest that with anhydrous ammonia injection into a moist clay soil, peak concentrations occurred about 2 hrs after application and remained elevated at about 25% of peak concentrations 24 hrs later. In other cases, maximum volatilization rates have been reported to occur between

two to six days after fertilizer application (Purakayastha et al., 1997). Typical gas densities measured for NH<sub>3</sub> in fertilized agricultural systems are on the order of 10-600 ug m<sup>-3</sup> Denmead (1983).

## Crop Type

To a limited degree, crop attributes have been shown to influence NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization loss rates. For example, Denmead et al. (1982b) reported that tall (2 m) crops (of corn, in this case) has lower losses of N during application of anhydrous NH<sub>3</sub> than a shorter (1 m) crop, the difference attributed to attenuation of wind by the taller crop. Volatilization loss rates of NH<sub>3</sub> from flooded rice fields can be higher than generally measured in unflooded soils (DeDatta et al., 1991; Bouldin et al., 1991).

## Organic Fertilizers

In addition to chemical fertilizer sources, NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization losses from organic fertilizers can be substantial. Use of liquid manure may result in loss of up to >90% of ammonium nitrogen from the organic mixture, depending on the intensity of sunlight during field application (Braschkat et al., 1997). Acidification of slurry can reduce NH<sub>3</sub> emission rates (Bussink et al., 1994). If organic waste is applied to fields in non-liquid form, irrigation immediately after spreading may significantly reduce NH<sub>3</sub> emission from the manured plots (Rodhe et al., 1996). Composting at relatively high temperatures may also reduce NH<sub>3</sub> fluxes from liquid manure (Brinson et al., 1994).

Loss of NH<sub>3</sub> from stored organic manures and compost has been studied using micrometeorological mass balance and small wind tunnel experiments, mainly for the purposes of odor control and animal health. It appears that ammonia can be produced from manure via both biological and chemical pathways. Reports indicate that up to about 25% of the total manure nitrogen could be volatilized as NH<sub>3</sub> over a several week period during manure storage, and that changes in pH (>7.5) had the most significant effect on ammonia emissions (Dewes, 1996). Ammonia volatilization from mulches could be negatively correlated with leaf lignin content, whereas green manures seem to emit little NH<sub>3</sub>, on either unlimed and limed soils (Glasener and Palm, 1995).

## Literature Research and Results

This report on documented NH<sub>3</sub> emission estimates from soils and fertilizers is intended to support subsequent crop field measurements (Krauter et al., in prep.) and computer modeling (Potter and Klooster, 1998; Davidson et al., 1998) for accurate extrapolation of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from agricultural systems in California's Central Valley and from natural (uncultivated) soils statewide. For this report, we did not attempt to replicate the recent world-wide 'emission factor' reports prepared for the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), including those by Battye et al. (1994) and MRI (1998). Instead, we report here mainly on the potential range of NH<sub>3</sub> emission estimates for various crop types, fertilizer application methods, and natural vegetation types most common to California.

Because the vast majority of NH<sub>3</sub> emission factors reported in the literature have not been measured in California, these data cannot be used directly for accurate extrapolation to a statewide emission budget for soils and crop fertilizers. Moreover, measured trace gas emission rates from soils are highly variable in space and time, which makes average emission estimates less meaningful and underscores the critical need to characterize local emission conditions for accurate extrapolations in any region. Consequently, the data summarized in this report are intended merely to furnish guidance for new measurements and regional model development on the potential scope of NH<sub>3</sub> emission rates expected in environments similar to those found in California. In this manner, new measurements of NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from fertilizer and soil sources in California can be correctly placed into the larger context of pollution emissions nationwide.

An initial computerized search of the literature uncovered over 80 potential publications and reports from which NH<sub>3</sub> fertilizer emissions might be summarized, compared, and compiled into a useful reference data base for emission ranges. We located approximately 25 citations in the on-line computer listings matching key words for NH<sub>3</sub> emissions and chemical fertilizer management, and more than 60 citations matching key words for NH<sub>3</sub> emissions and organic fertilizer/compost management. In addition, we located several unpublished reports of NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization flux estimates that are accessible on the World-Wide Web (www) sites of numerous agricultural research organizations.

Reported NH<sub>3</sub> emission rates were extracted from these literature and www sources and entered into a spreadsheet data base for a systematic comparison and synthesis of standardized flux ranges, grouped according to natural vegetation types of California (Mayer and Laudenslayer, 1988), predominant crop types in the Central Valley (CDWR, 1993), fertilizer application types, and domestic animals common to California. Crop types follow the land use legend from the California Department of Water Resources system of definitions (CDWR, 1993). To additionally support regional computer modeling for soil NH<sub>3</sub> fluxes (see, for example, Davidson et al., 1998), these crop types have been cross-referenced in a geographic information system (GIS) to STATSGO soil types and seasonal land-cover regions of the United States derived from multi-temporal analysis of satellite images by the U.S. Geological Survey (Loveland et al., 1995).

The comparison of emission estimates for natural soils (Table 1) reveals many missing data values for major natural vegetation types common to California. Only shrub lands and range lands are represented with more than a few published emission measurements. Percentage loss rates may be over 20% of mineralized soil nitrogen, although there is little knowledge of the potential timing and extent of such high NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from natural range lands. No emission information for soil NH<sub>3</sub> is currently available for oak woodland and chaparral ecosystems, which cover a substantial portion of California's wild lands. Information on net NH<sub>3</sub> fluxes in conifer forests of the Sierra Nevada mountain regions is also lacking in the available literature.

We note that, on the basis of the several available measurements reported for natural vegetation types, these maximum flux rates for uncultivated soils are generally 1000 times lower than NH<sub>3</sub> flux rates measured for various crop fertilizer application methods common in California (Table 2). Judging from these data, NH<sub>3</sub> emission rates as a fraction of applied fertilizer N in the forms of urea and organic fertilizer sources appear to be the highest measured so far in agricultural systems, with maximum reported loss rates more than 50% of applied fertilizer N. Maximum loss rates for all other fertilizer types are reported at less than 10% of applied fertilizer N.

Emission factors were categorized also by crop type (Table 3), which again shows the paucity of information available for crop types common in California. Highest percent loss rates have been measured from rice fields, although potential NH<sub>3</sub> emissions appear high in pastures also. No measurement reports for NH<sub>3</sub> emissions were located for common crop types of fruit trees and vineyards in California.

Hence, it is anticipated that the field flux measurements for NH<sub>3</sub> emissions planned now by Krauter et al. in California's Central Valley will be the first of their kind made for crop types of grain, truck, fruit tree, and vineyard in the State, plus new measurements of background NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from nearby natural ecosystems. Tables 1-3 will be continually updated as new NH<sub>3</sub> emission factor measurements in California become available. This tabulated information will be used to calibrate simulation models of soil NH<sub>3</sub> emissions on a statewide basis.

# **Bibliography**

Al-Kanani, T., et al. 1991. Soil water and ammonia volatilization relationships with surface applied nitrogen fertilizer solutions. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 55: 1761-1766.

Battye, R., W. Battye, C. Overcash, and S. Fudge. 1994. Development and selection of ammonia emission factors. Report for the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA/600/-94/190, Washington, DC.

Bouldin D. R. et al., 1991. Urea transformations in flooded soil columns: II. Derivation of model and implications for to ammonia volatilization. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 55: 1135-1142.

Bouwman, A. F., D.S. Lee, W. A. H. Asman, F. J. Dentener, K. van der Hoek, and J. G. J. Oliver. 1997. A global high resolution emission inventory for ammonia. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*. 11: 561-587.

Braschkat, J., T. Mannheim, and H. Marschner. 1997. Estimation of ammonia losses after application of liquid cattle manure on grassland. *Zeitschrift fuer Pflanzenernaehrung und Bodenkunde* 160: 117-123.

Brinson, S. E. Jr, M. L. Cabrera, S. C. Tyson. 1994. Ammonia volatilization from surface-applied, fresh and composted poultry litter. *Plant and Soil* . 167:213-218.

Burch, J. A. and R. H. Fox. 1989. The effect of temperature and initial soil moisture content on the volatilization of ammonia from surface-applied urea. *Soil Sci.* 147: 311-318.

Bussink, D. W., J. F. M. Huijsmans, J. J. M. H. Ketelaars. 1994. Ammonia volatilization from nitric-acid-treated cattle slurry surface applied to grassland. *Netherlands J. Agricultural Science*. 42: 293-309.

Bytnerowicz A. and Fenn, M. E. 1996. Nitrogen deposition in California forests: A review. *Environmental Pollution* . 92: 127-146.

California Department of Water Resources (CDWR). 1993. *Standard Land Use Legend*. Division of Planning, Sacramento, CA.

Camire, C. and B. Bernier. 1981. Retention de l'azote et evolution des proprietes d'un humus brut de station de pin gris (*Pinus banksiana* Lamb.) apres application d'engrais azotes. *Canadian J. Forest Res.* 11: 51-61.

Chapman, L.S., O.T. Denmead, and J.R. Freney. 1994. Ammonia volatilisation from urea/monoammonium phophate granules and urea/biodunder compared to urea when applied onto a green trash mulch. Bureau of Sugar Experiment Stations, Qld. Technical Report No. TE94007.

Chow, J. C., J. G. Watson, D. H. Lowenthal, P. A. Solomon, K. Magliano, S. Ziman, and L. W. Richards. 1992. PM10 source apportionment in California's San Joaquin Valley. *Atmos. Environ*. 26A: 3335-3354.

Davidson, E. A., C. S. Potter, P. Schlesinger, and S. A. Klooster. 1998. A regional model of NO emission from soils. *Ecological Applications*. 8: 748-759.

DeDatta, S. K. et al., 1991. Direct measurements of ammonia and denitrification fluxes from urea apllied to rice. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 55: 543-548.

Denmead, O.T. 1979. Chamber systems for measuring nitrous oxide emission from soils in the field. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 43: 89-95.

Denmead, O.T. 1983. Micrometeorological methods for measuring gaseous losses of nitrogen in the field. Gaseous Loss of Nitrogen from Plant-Soil Systems. Editors J.R. Freney and J.R. Simpson, (Martinus Nijhoff: The Hague.). pp 133-157.

Denmead, O.T. 1995. Novel meteorological methods for measuring trace gas fluxes. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London*, A 351:383-396.

Denmead, O. T., A.C.F. Trevitt, W.A. Muirhead, J. R. Freney, and J. R. Simpson. 1987.

Modelling ammonia loss from flooded soil systems. Nitrogen Cycling in Temperate Agricultural Systems. Vol. II. Editors P. E. Bacon, J. Evans, R.R. Storrier and A.C. Taylor, (Australian Society of Soil Science, Riverina Branch: Wagga Wagga, NSW.). pp 353-359.

Denmead, O.T., and E.F. Bradley. 1985. Flux-gradient relationships in a forest canopy. The Forest-Atmosphere Interaction. Editors B.A. Hutchinson and B.B. Hicks, (D. Reidel Publishing Co.: Dordrecht, The Netherlands.). pp 421-442.

Denmead, O. T., and M. R. Raupach. 1993. Methods for measuring atmospheric gas transport in agricultural and forest systems. In Agroecosystem Effects on Trace Gases and Global Climate Change, ASA Special Publication No. 55. Editors J.M. Duxbury, L.A. Harper, A.R. Mosier, and D. E. Rolston., (American Society of Agronomy: Madison.). pp 19-43.

Denmead, O. T., and J. R. Freney. 1991. Direct measurements of ammonia loss from rice plants. Proc. Workshop Recent Progress and FutureNeeds in Nitrogen Research in Rice. Yanco, NSW, 19-20 Feb 1991, (NSW Agriculture and Fisheries Department: ).

Denmead, O. T., J. R. Freney, and J. R. Simpson. 1982a. Atmospheric dispersion of ammonia during application of anhydrous ammonia fertiliser. *J. Environ. Qual.* 11:568-572.

Denmead, O. T., J. R. Freney, and J. R. Simpson. 1982b. Dynamics of ammonia volatilization during furrow irrigation of maize. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 46:149-155.

Denmead, O. T., J. R. Freney, R. Leuning, and J. R. Simpson. 1987. Measuring ammonia loss from small treated plots. Proc. Int. Symp. Advances in Nitrogen Cycling in Agricultural Ecosystems. Brisbane, Qld, 1987, 25-26 (CSIRO Division of Tropical Crops and Pastures: Brisbane).

Denmead, O. T., J. R. Simpson, and J.R. Freney. 1977. A direct field measurement of ammonia emission after injection of anhydrous ammonia. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 41:1001-1004.

Denmead, O. T., J. R. Freney, and J. R. Simpson. 1976. A closed ammonia cycle within a plant canopy. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 8:161-164.

Denmead, O. T., R. Nulsen, and G.W. Thurtell. 1978. Ammonia exchange over a corn crop. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 42:840-842.

Dewes, T. 1996. Effect of pH, temperature, amount of litter and storage density on ammonia emissions from stable manure. *J. Agricultural Science*. 127(4):501-509.

Fenn, L. B. and S. Miyamoto. 1981. Ammonia loss and associated reactions of urea in calcareous soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 45:537-540.

Fenn, L. B. and J. Richards. 1986. Ammonia loss from surface applied urea-acid products. *Fert*. *Res.* 9:265-275.

Fitz, D., D. Pankratz, J. Zwicker, E. Ringler, T. Waldron, D. Coe. 1997. Evaluation and improvement of methods for determining ammonia emissions in the San Joaquin Valley. Field data report prepared for California Regional PM10 and PM2.5 Air Quality Study, Sacramento, CA by the Center for Environmental Research and Technology, College of Engineering, Riverside, CA, 97-AP-RT37-002-FR.

Fox, R. H. and Piekielek, W. P. 1987. Comparison of surface application methods of nitrogen solution to no-till. *J. Fert* . *Issues*. 4(1): 7-12.

Gharib, S. and G. R. Cass. 1994. Ammonia emissions in the South Coast Air Basin 1982.

Prepared by the Environmental Quality Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena,

CA, Open File Report 84-2.

Glasener, K. M. and Palm, C. A. 1995. Ammonia volatilization from tropical legume mulches and green manures on unlimed and limed soils. *Plant and Soil*. 177 (1):33-41.

Harding, R. B., T. W. Embleton, W. W. Jones, and T. M. Ryan. 1963. Leaching and gaseous loss of nitrogen from some non-tilled California soils. *Agronomy Journal*. 55: 515-518.

Hargrove, W. L., B. R. Bock, R.A. Raunikar, and W. J. Urban. 1987. Comparison of forced draft technique to nitrogen-15 recovery for measuring ammonia volatilization under field conditions. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 51:124-128.

Harper, L. A., O. T. Denmead, and J. R. Freney. 1993. Micrometeorological determination of methane production by grazing and feedlot cattle. Agronomy Abstracts, 1993 Meeting ASA, CSSA, SSSA. Cincinnati, OH, 7-12 Nov 1993, 13. (American Society of Agronomy: Madison).

Hauck, R. D. 1981. Nitrogen fertilizer effects on nitrogen cycle processes. In F. E. Clark and T. Rosswall (eds.) Terrestrial Nitrogen Cycles. *Ecological Bulletin* (Stockholm) 33:551-562.

Horvath, L. 1983. Concentration and near surface vertical flux of ammonia in the air near Hungary. *J. Hungarian Meteorological Service*. 87: 65-70.

Keller, G. D. and D. B. Mengel. 1986. Ammonia volatilization from nitrogen fertilizers surface applied to no-till corn. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 50:1060-1063.

Kissel, D. E., H. L. Brewer, and G. F. Arkin. 1977. Design and test of a field sampler for ammonia volatilization. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 41:1133-1138.

Langford, A. O.and F. C. Fehsenfeld. 1992. Natural vegetation as a source or sink for atmospheric ammonia: A case study. *Science*. 255: 581-583.

Langford, A. O., F. C. Fehsenfeld., J. Zachariassen, and D. S. Schimel. 1992. Gaseous ammonia fluxes and background concentrations in terrestrial ecosystems of the United States. *Global Biogeochem Cycles*. 6(4):459-483.

Loveland, T. R., Merchant, J. W., Brown, J. F., Ohlen, D. O., Reed, B. C., Olson, P., and Hutchinson, J., 1995, Seasonal land-cover regions of the United States: *Ann. Assoc. Am. Geograph.*, 85:339-355.

Matson, P. A. and M. Firestone. 1997. Agricultural Systems in the San Joaquin Valley:

Development of Emissions Estimates for Nitrogen Oxides. Technical Report for the State of
California Air Resources Board, Univ. of California at Berkeley.

Matthews, E. 1994. Nitrogen fertilizer consumption: global distribution and associated emissions of nitrous oxide and ammonia. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*. 8: 411-440.

Mayer, K. E., and W. F. Laudenslayer, Jr. 1988. A Guide to Wildlife Habitats of California. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection.

McInnes, K. J., R. B. Ferguson, D. E. Kissel, and E. T. Kanemasu. 1986. Field measurements of ammonia loss from applications of urea solution to bare soil. *Agronomy Journal*. 78:192-196.

Midwest Regional Institute (MRI). 1998. Emission factor documentation for AP-42. Section 9.2.1, Fertilizer application. Draft Report for the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC.

Misra, C., D. Jena, K. K. Bandyopadhyay, and J. S. Schepers. 1995. Urea hydrolysis and ammonia volatilization from some urea based fertilizers applied to rice. *J. Indian Society of Soil Science*. 43: 381-386.

Munger, J. W. 1982. Chemistry of atmospheric precipitation in the north central USA: Influence of sulfate nitrate ammonia and calcareous soil particulates. *Atmos. Environ.* 16:1633-1646.

Potter, C. S. and S. A. Klooster. 1998. Interannual variability in soil trace gas (CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, NO) fluxes and analysis of controllers on regional to global scales. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles.* 12(4): 621-637.

Priebe, D. L and A. M. Blackmer. 1989. Soil moisture content at time of application as a factor affecting losses of nitrogen from surface-applied urea. *J. Fert. Issues*. 6(3): 62-67.

Purakayastha, T. J., J. C. Katyal, and N. N. Goswami. 1997. Evaluation of ammonia volatilization from some modified urea fertilizers. *J. Indian Society of Soil Science*. 45: 9-14.

Reynolds, C. M. and D. C. Wolf. 1988. Effects of methods and soil cover on estimating ammonia losses from nitrogen-15 urea. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 52:706-711.

Rodhe, L., E. Salomon, C. Rammer. 1996. Spreading of farmyard manure to ley with different methods: Yield and silage quality. *Swedish J. Agricultural Res.* 26 (1):43-51.

Schimel, D. S., W. J. Parton, F. J. Adamsen, R. G. Woodmansee, R. L. Senft, and M. A. Stillwell. 1986. The role of cattle in the volatile loss of nitrogen from a shortgrass steppe. *Biogeochemistry*. 2:39-52.

Schlesinger, W. H. and A. E. Hartley. 1992. A global budget for atmospheric NH<sub>3</sub>. *Biogeochemistry*. 15:191-211.

Schlesinger, W. H. and W. T. Peterjohn. 1991. Processes controlling ammonia volatilization from Chihuahuan desert soils. *Soil Biol. and Biochem.* 23:637-642.

Vandre, R. and M. Kaupenjohann. 1998. In situ measurement of ammonia emissions from organic fertilizers in plot experiments. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 62:467-473.

Van der Weerden, T.J. and S. C. Jarvis. 1997. Ammonia emission factors for N fertilizers applied to two contrasting grassland soils. *Environmental Pollution*. 95: 205-211.

West, N. E. and J. Skujins. 1977. The nitrogen cycle of North American cold-winter semi-desert ecosystems. *Oecologia Plantarum*. 12:45-53.

Woodmansee, R. G. 1978. Additions and losses of nitrogen in grassland ecosystems. *Bioscience*. 28:448-453.

Table 1. Ammonia Emission Factors for Natural Vegetation Types of California

Code	Vegetation Type	Flux (ug N m-2 hr-1)	Percent Loss	References
		Minimum Maximum	Minimum Maximum	1
	Tree dominated			
T1f	Needleleaf (mixed)	4.0		Langford & Feshenfeld (1992)
T2a	Broadleaf (oak)		0.3	Camire and Bernier (1981)
T2b	Broadleaf (mixed)			
Т3	Oak woodland			
Т4	Oak savanna			
	Shrub dominated			
S1	Chapparal			
S2	Tall shrubland			
S3	Mixed shrubland			
S4	Low shrubland	!	5.7	Woodmansee (1978)
S5	Desert shrubland	0.6 114	1.0	Schlesinger & Peterjohn (1991); West & Skuji:
S6	Mixed rangeland	1.3		Schimel et al. (1986)
	Herb dominated			
Н1	Shortgrass rangeland	11.4 200	1.6	Woodmansee (1978); Denmead et al. (1976) 23.0 Van der Weerden & Jarvis (1997)
Н2	Wet meadow		1.0	23.0 van der meerden & barvis (1997)

Notes:

Single enteries were placed in the minimum column

Table 2. Ammonia Emission Factors for Fertilizer Types Comnon in California

Code	Fertilizer Type	Flux (ug N m-2 hr-1)		Percent Loss		References
		Minimum	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	n
A	Anhydrous NH3 injected					
				0.	9	4.0 Denmead et al. (1977); Bouwman et al (1997
В	Urea dry					
				4.	0	46.0 McInnes et al. (1986); Hargrove et al. (1986)
С	NH4+ compounds dry					
				1.	3	3.7 Harding et al. (1963); Kelly & Mengel (198
D	UAN liquid					
				2.	5	Bouwman et al (1997)
E	Anhydrous NH3 liquid	276	0 81000	)		Denmead et al. (1982b)
				4.	0	7.0 Bouwman et al (1997); Denmead et al. (1982
F	Organic waste liquid	198	0 18000	)		Vandre and Kaupenjohann (1998)
				14.	)	99.0 Braschkat et al. (1997)

Notes:

Code A is mainly by injection into soil behind a shank or knife to 10--20~cm depth

Code B is mainly incorporated into soil furrow

 ${\tt Code}\ {\tt C}\ {\tt is}\ {\tt mainly}\ {\tt ammonium}\ {\tt nitrate},\ {\tt incorporated}\ {\tt into}\ {\tt soil}\ {\tt furrow}$ 

 ${\tt Code}\ {\tt D}\ {\tt is}\ {\tt urea}\ {\tt ammonium}\ {\tt nitrate},\ {\tt mainly}\ {\tt dripped}\ {\tt into}\ {\tt irrigation}\ {\tt water}\ {\tt run}$ 

Code E is mainly dripped into irrigation water run  $\,$ 

Code F is mainly in slurry form added into irrigation water run

Table 3. Ammonia Emission Factors for Crop Types Comnon in California

Code	Crops	Flux (ug N m-2 hr-1)		Percent Loss	References	
		Minimum	Maximum	Minimum Maximu	m	
G	Grain & Hay					
F	Field & Row	2760	81000	1	7 Denmead et al. (1978); Denmead et al.	(1982b)
P	Pasture			2.2	43 Kissel et al. (1977); Reynolds & Wolf	(1000)
T	Truck & Nursery			2.2	13 Kisser et ar. (1977)7 Keyhorus & Wolf	(1900)
D	Deciduous Tree					
С	Citrus Tree					
V	Vineyard					
R	Rice			4	54 Bouldin et al. (1991); DeDatta et al.	(1991)

Notes:

G is a mixture of barley, wheat, and oats

F is mainly cotton with some corn

P is mainly alfalfa

T is mainly tomatoes and melons

D is mainly almonds and peaches

C is mainly oranges